

DEC. 2. 2002 9:25AM

LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER

NO. 2897 P. 1

EE20

LAW OFFICES  
**LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.**  
6815 WEAVER ROAD, SUITE 300  
ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS 61114-8018

TELEPHONE: (815) 963-7661

TELECOPY: (815) 963-7664

8301  
12/9/02

## FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE: DECEMBER 2, 2002

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING  
THIS TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET): 2

TIME:

OUR REFERENCE: 210606

**FROM:** MARGARET M. KELTON  
REGISTRATION NO. 44182

**DIRECT LINE:** (815) 963-7661

**To:** EXAMINER BEATRIZ PRIETO  
GROUP 2142  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

TELEPHONE NUMBER: (703) 305-0750  
FACSIMILE NUMBER: (703) 308-6606

IN RE APPLN. OF: ANDRES VEGA-GARCIA  
APPLICATION No.: 09/157,884  
FILED: SEPTEMBER 21, 1998  
FOR: MULTIMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS SOFTWARE WITH  
NETWORK STREAMING AND MULTI-FORMAT  
CONFERENCING  
GROUP ART UNIT: 2142  
EXAMINER: BEATRIZ PRIETO

### PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS FACSIMILE

A confirmation copy of the transmitted document will:

Not be sent. This will be the only form of delivery of the transmitted document.

The information contained in this facsimile transmission is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above and those properly entitled to access to the information and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this transmission is not the intended or an authorized recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized distribution, dissemination, or duplication of this transmission is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone or facsimile. Thank you.

## AGENDA

1. The Amendment does not raise new issues that would require more than nominal consideration. Data type was amended to read "audio/video protocol type". The amendment should be entered because the change was only to conform the claims to the specification definition of "type". No new search is required because the definition of type is controlled by the Specification. *Toro Co .v. White Consolidated Industries Inc.*, 199 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The change was made ONLY because the prior art cited against the claims applied to a different definition of type than was required by the specification. Since the specification CONTROLS, the prior art applied was improper. The change to the claims was, therefore, AN ACCOMODATION for purposes of expediting the prosecution of the application.
2. Would like to discuss adding a limitation regarding Synchronization Source Identifiers (SSRC) detection for replacing an inactive stream with a new stream.